IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 8633 of 1995

Between:

R.Maheswari W/o. Late M.S.Rajendran,

R/o.C.P.T.S. Company, High Road,Chittoor ... PETITIONER

AND

1.. State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Principal Secretary,

Revenue (Excise) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad

2.. Deputy Commissioner of Prohibition & Excise, Anantapur

3.. Sri Harish Kumar,

IAS Deputy Commissioner, Prohibition & Excise, Anantapur

4.. Inspector of Prohibition and Excise, Chittoor

5.. Sri Surendra Reddy, Inspector of Prohibition & Excise, Chittoor

6.. Sub Inspector of Prohibition & Excise,

Naraharipeta Check Post, Naraharipeta

Chittoor district ....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, direction or order preferably in the nature of a writ of certiorari calling for

the records relating to show cause notice dt 15-4-1995 in RC No.484/95/B2 issued by the 2nd respondent and quash the same holding that the same is based on bias against the petitioner and also the contents of the show cause notice clearly indicates that the 2nd respondent has prejudged the whole matter and further declare the seizure of the bus A.D.C 3511 made by the 6th respondent on 31-3-1995 as illegal and void and being violative of Articles 19 (1) (g) , 14 and 21 of the Constitution and also declare sec.46 (e) of A.P.Excise Act, 1968 as ultravires of Articles 14 and 19 (1) (g) and also offending basic feature of the Constitution and forbid the respondents from proceedings issued by the second respondent dt 15-4-1995 in Rc.No.484/95/B2

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.T.M.RANGARAMANUJAM

(not present)

Counsel for the Respondents: G.P. for Prohibition & Excise.

The Court made the following :

ORDER :

On 7-10-2004 when the matter was taken up, none appeared for the petitioner nor any representation made on his behalf, hence, the matter was directed to be listed for dismissal. Today also none appeared for petitioner, though the matter is listed for dismissal.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

13-10-2004

TVK

To

1.. The Principal Secretary,

State of Andhra Pradesh,

Revenue (Excise) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad

2.. The Deputy Commissioner of Prohibition & Excise,

Anantapur

3.. The Inspector of Prohibition and Excise,

Chittoor

4.. The Sub Inspector of Prohibition & Excise,

Naraharipeta Check Post, Naraharipeta

Chittoor district

5.. 2CCs to G.P. for Prohibition and Excise, High Court of A.P.,

Hyderabad (OUT)

6.. 2CD copies

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{ }